ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

% Recovery:

Client ID: M124152 Date Received: 12/17/09 12/23/09 Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: 12/23/09 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Internal Standard:

Client: Project:

Alaskan Copper Works PO M124152, F&BI 912160

Lab ID: 912160-01 Data File: 912160-01.011 Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: btb

Lower

Upper Limit: Limit: 60 125

Germanium 100 Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium 428 Nickel 619 Copper 631 Zinc 12.2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank
Date Received: Not Applicable
Date Extracted: 12/23/09
Date Analyzed: 12/23/09
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Project: PO M124152, F&BI 912160
Lab ID: I9-554 mb
Data File: I9-554 mb.008
Instrument: ICPMS1
Operator: btb

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 97 60 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1
Nickel <1
Copper <1
Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/29/09 Date Received: 12/17/09

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M124152, F&BI 912160

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 912160-01 (Duplicate)

				Relative	
Analyte	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Percent Difference	Acceptance Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	428	392	9	0-20
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	619	574	8	0-20
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	631	578	9	0-20
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	12.2	<10	nm	0-20

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Recovery LCS	Recovery LCSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	94	95	70-130	1
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	97	70-130	1
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	97	97	70-130	0
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	99	98	70-130	.1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- ${f J}$ The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.
- y The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 29, 2009



INVOICE #09ACU1229-2

Accounts Payable Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

RE: Project Metro Self Monitor, PO M124152, F&BI 912160 - Results of testing requested by Gerry Thompson for material submitted on December 17, 2009.

FEDERAL TAX ID #(b) (6)

Send Report To	Thom	P50_	15) W-15 - 14 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 1 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 1 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	SAMPLERS	(sianat ure)		50. W.		>	ei ^V	3.0		7 -		age #	IAROUN	of
Company ALASKAN Cypen works Address 628 S. Warsen 80 City, State, ZIP Sept was 88134 Phone #206- Fax # 205-382-8309			PROJECT NAME/NO. Medro Sett miner				PO # MIZYISZ			عرا ا	TURNAROUND TIME Standard (2 Weeks) RUSH Rush charges authorized by:						
				REMARKS									SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samples Will call with instructions				
3 3 7									A	NAL	YSES	REQ	UEST	ED			
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS				v		Notes
in 124152	01	12/17/08	12:30	HZO	/						7		1 IV				
20 N		77												10 e	4 .	74	
w ^h . The control of	-0			· N.,/i	37 =							1				×,,	
					1 14	N. 600			3				Δ.			33.	
	13.	47		8 1	11												
2 T 12		,,													**		No.
	f ,			Pan Kai P							San C				E .	14	
			N.												54		
	- A				th		¥									ŧ	
y i	2	4			,				1.			Ī					
iedman & Bruya, Inc.		SIGNATUI	RE		PRINT	NAI	ИĘ				Ċ	OMP	ANY	_		DATE	TIME
			6	ench Thorpso			Deed			2/2/or 2:387							
	Relinquished by		m	- N	Hian '	10	1a	n	,	-	Fe	BI	-	\$1.	16	117/09	i V
I DESCRIPTION TO THE PARTY OF T	Received by:	. V . s							G UMEN			_		277	7		-
RMS\COC\COC.DOC		-			42.77	7.				11		31	-			_	<u> </u>

Samples received at 20°C

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 29, 2009

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on December 17, 2009 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M124152, F&BI 912160 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1229R.DOC